

A Geant4 simulation analysis of the low energy muon experimental setup at PSI: optimization of the muon beam spot

Ran Xiao^{a,b,c,**}, Thomas Prokscha^{c,**}, Zaher Salman^c, Elvezio Morenzoni^c,
Bangjiao Ye^{a,b}

^a*State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China*

^b*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China*

^c*Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

Abstract

The low-energy muon (LEM) facility at PSI provides slow fully polarized positive muons with tunable energies in the keV range to carry out muon spin rotation and relaxation (μ SR) experiments with nanometer depth resolution on thin films, heterostructures and near-surface regions. The beam is focussed and transported to the sample by electrostatic lenses. A spin rotator upstream of the sample can be used to rotate the muon spin. An electric field along the muon momentum can also be applied to accelerate/decelerate the muons to different energies (0.5-30 keV). Moreover, external magnetic fields at the sample are necessary for transverse and longitudinal field μ SR experiments. All these fields affect the beam spot at the sample position, so that presently the LEM beam has a beam spot at the sample position with root-mean-square (RMS) values in x and y of about 6 mm. This practically limits the application of LEM to sample sizes of $\gtrsim 1$ cm². Here, we present beam transport simulation results using Geant4, to optimize the beam transport onto the sample for various magnetic

[☆]Fully documented templates are available in the elsarticle package on CTAN.

^{*}Corresponding author

^{**}Corresponding author

Email addresses: `xran@mail.ustc.edu.cn` (Ran Xiao), `thomas.prokscha@psi.ch` (Thomas Prokscha)

and electric fields applied at the sample, and to investigate several options to generate a smaller beam spot. We study in detail the effect of the muon start detector on the beam spot. We find that without the detector the RMS values of the beam spot can be reduced to 3.5-4.0 mm. We also demonstrate that further reduction of the beam spot to 2.5 mm RMS can be achieved by collimation before the start detector and at the source of the low-energy muon beam.

Keywords: muon beam, muon spin rotation, low energy, beam size, Geant4

1. Introduction

The muon spin rotation/relaxation/resonance (μ SR) technique is a versatile local probe technique to investigate the physical properties of superconductors, magnetic systems, semiconductors and organic materials [1]. Because of its unique capabilities of a local probe technique it gives complementary information to other methods. The advantages of the technique include extreme sensitivity to small internal magnetic fields, the ability to detect magnetic fluctuations over a large range ($10^4 \sim 10^{12}$ /s) and applications in a wide variety of sample environments. The muons are usually produced by an intensive, energetic (0.5 - 3 GeV) proton beam bombarding a graphite target, where the protons generate pions in nuclear reactions, which subsequently decay into muons and neutrinos. These muons have kinetic energies of the order of MeV and above and penetrate deeply into a sample (mm to cm). Therefore, μ SR experiments using these MeV muons can only study bulk materials. To overcome these limitations and to extend μ SR to the investigation of thin films, PSI developed and operates the low energy muons beam facility (LEM) where a cryogenic moderation method [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] is used to generate fully polarized positive muons with tunable energies in the range of eV to several keV. Up to now these characteristics have allowed the LEM facility at PSI to play a leading role in low energy muon experiments, extending the μ SR technique to the investigation of nano-materials, layer interfaces, thin films and near-surface regions [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

The LEM facility is located at the μ E4 beam line, which is a hybrid-type large acceptance channel to generate an intense beam of so-called surface muons (positive muons, μ^+ , originating from pions decaying at rest close to the surface of the graphite target, with a kinetic energy of ~ 4 MeV) [6]. The intensity of the surface muon beam at the exit of the μ E4 beam line is about 4.6×10^8 /s at a proton current of 2.2 mA. This represents at the moment the highest continuous surface muon flux in the world. About 40% of the beam can be focussed onto the cryogenic moderator target. Using a wide-band-gap van der Waals solid gas (s-N₂, s-Ar) a moderation efficiency ($\frac{N_{eV}^{out}}{N_{MeV}^{in}}$) of 10^{-5} or 10^{-4} is achieved [2, 4, 8].

The moderation of the muons from ~ 4 MeV to ~ 10 eV is achieved within ~ 10 ps, such that their initial high polarization is conserved [5]. The moderator consists of a 200-300 nm thick Ar layer (capped by a 10 nm thin N₂ layer) deposited on a thin Ag foil (~ 125 μ m) [20] which is held by a cryostat at a temperature below 20 K. The mean energy of the moderated muons is about 15 eV with a width of about 20 eV (full width at half maximum, FWHM) [21]. These moderated muons can be re-accelerated by applying a high positive potential of up to 20 kV to the moderator [22]. After acceleration they are transported by electro- and magneto-static beam elements to the sample position. The rate of moderated muons at the sample is up to 4×10^3 /s. By tuning the high voltage of the moderator and the acceleration/deceleration high voltage at the sample, low-energy positive muons (LE- μ^+) with tunable kinetic energies between 0.5 and 30 keV are obtained, corresponding to mean implantation depths ranging from a few nm to a few hundred nm in solid materials [23].

In transverse and longitudinal field μ SR measurements an external magnetic field is applied to the sample. The direction of the external field can be parallel or perpendicular to the muon momentum. In LEM experiments the sample is usually biased by application of an electric potential to adjust the final implantation energy. The corresponding electric field is superimposed onto the magnetic field. Since both fields may influence the beam spot size, we investigated the effect using the musrSim simulation package [24] which is based on

Geant4 [25, 26]. Using these simulations we optimize the settings of the last electrostatic steering and focusing element for various magnetic fields - either perpendicular or parallel to the muon momentum - and varying implantation energies (electric fields). These parameters allow to run the experiment with optimized beam transport onto the sample under different magnetic and electric field configurations. The results of this analysis are presented in section 2.

The present LE- μ^+ beam size at the sample is about 14 mm (FWHM) in horizontal and vertical directions (x and y), and the corresponding root-mean-square values (x_{RMS} , y_{RMS}) are 6.0 mm [27]. Since typical thin-film samples are deposited on $10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$ or $5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$ substrates, the current beam size is larger than such samples. The beam size is significantly affected by the interaction of the muons with the carbon foil of the start (or trigger) detector, which provides the implantation time (time zero) of the muons and start the clock of the μ SR data acquisition. The foil causes energy loss and broadening of the energy distribution of the muons, and increases the angular divergence of the beam (transverse phase space) [28]. In order to understand and quantify the effect of the start detector on the beam size, we simulated the muon beam with and without the start detector. The corresponding x_{RMS} , y_{RMS} values are calculated and then compared to experimental measurements on the beam spot. An additional route for optimizing the beam spot size using collimators was also simulated and investigated. The results of this study are presented and discussed in section 3.

2. Analysis of the effect of the external magnetic and electric fields at the sample position

A schematic of the LEM apparatus is shown in Figure 1. The source of the low-energy muon beam, the cryogenic moderator, has a quadratic area of $30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$. Adjustable positive high voltages applied to the moderator and to a set of grids generate an accelerating electric field to reaccelerate the moderated muons from eV energy to higher energies, typically between 10-20 keV (low




Figure 1: The layout of the low energy muon beam line for LE- μ SR experiments at PSI. The red and blue arrows in the figure represent the muon's momentum and direction of spin polarization, respectively. θ_{spin} represents the spin angle with respect to the initial direction of the 4-MeV muon beam, corresponding to $-x$ direction in the simulation.

energy muons). Since the moderation efficiency is of the order of 10^{-5} to 10^{-4} most of the surface muons stop in the moderator target or leave the moderator with keV to hundreds of keV energies (fast muons). An electrostatic mirror with an angle of 45° with respect to the muon momentum is used to separate low energy muons from fast muons. After being focussed by einzel lens 1 (L1) the low energy muons are deflected by 90° with respect to the initial muon direction, while fast muons continue to move towards the MCP detector. After the deflection the spin polarization is perpendicular to the muon momentum. A spin rotator with crossed static magnetic and electric fields $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ can be used to rotate the muon spin parallel or anti-parallel to its momentum [27]. This enables to carry out longitudinal field measurements (LF- μ SR, where the muon spin is parallel or anti-parallel to the applied field at the sample). The LE- μ^+ spin direction (θ_{spin}) can be changed to any angle from -90° to $+90^\circ$ with respect to the initial muon spin direction by tuning the magnetic and electric




Figure 2: The simulated beam spot without external fields at the sample position. Following high voltage setting for the beam line elements were used: $V_{mod}=15$ kV, $\theta_{spin}=-10^\circ$, $L1=9.0$ kV, $L2=10.5$ kV, $L3=11.5$ kV and $RA=11.9$ kV. The initial number of muons at the moderator (N^{in}) is 10^4 .

fields in the spin rotator, such that the ratio E/B is chosen to match the velocity of the muons.

Downstream of the spin rotator the einzel lens 2 (L2) focuses the muon beam onto the retractable start detector. This detector provides the fast start timing signal which is necessary for μ SR experiments at a continuous muon beam. It consists of a 10-nm-thin carbon foil transverse to the muon momentum, a microchannel plate (MCP) transverse to the carbon foil and a grid system used to direct secondary electrons, ejected by muons passing through the foil, to a MCP detector [28, 29]. The muons lose 0.5 - 1.0 keV energy in the foil, depending on energy, with an energy width of same order (FWHM). Multiple scattering in the foil leads to an increase of transverse phase space, which, in combination with the larger width of the energy distribution, causes an increase of the attainable beam spot size at the sample position. Additionally, neutralization processes in the foil can lead to the formation of neutral muonium atoms (a hydrogen-like bound state consisting of a positive muon and an electron). The final beam




Figure 3: Beam spots in the simulation at the sample viewed from downstream with the AEW magnetic field from 50 to 300 Gauss with the same beam element settings as in Fig. 2. Pictures from left to right, top to bottom are beam spots with different magnetic field: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 Gauss at the sample.

size at the sample position is determined by einzel lens 3 (L3) and the strongly focusing conical lens (also called ring anode, RA).

In the LEM beam Geant4 simulation, the initial muon beam is started at the moderator, at the edge of the high-voltage acceleration region. The moderator is located at a distance of 499 mm upstream to the center of the electrostatic mirror. We assume homogeneous electric fields in the acceleration volume. The high voltage V_{mod} applied at the moderator varies between 8.5 kV and 15 kV. The initial beam is homogeneously distributed on an area of $30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ which is the active area of the moderator, and the initial mean kinetic energy is 15 eV with a FWHM of 20 eV. Due to the homogeneous angular distribution of slow muons inside the moderator [20] a $\cos \theta$ angular divergence is generated in the simulation for muons escaping from the moderator layer (θ is the angle of the muon momentum with respect to the initial beam momentum). The momentum




Figure 4: meanX (top) and meanY (bottom) values with electric potentials at the sample from -10 kV to 10 kV, and AEW magnetic fields from 0 to 300 Gauss; $V_{mod} = 15$ kV.

distribution is generated in the simulation as follows,

$$p_z = \sqrt{1 - \epsilon_1} \cdot |\vec{p}|; p_x = \sqrt{\epsilon_1} \cos(\epsilon_2 \cdot 2\pi) \cdot |\vec{p}|; p_y = \sqrt{\epsilon_1} \sin(\epsilon_2 \cdot 2\pi) \cdot |\vec{p}|; \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$ is the muon momentum, and ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are random numbers between 0 and 1. Field maps of the beam elements (Spin Rotator, L1, L2, L3 and RA) have been either calculated or measured [29], and are scaled according to the experimental settings. In the electrostatic mirror a homogeneous electric field is assumed.

A vertical magnetic field up to 300 Gauss, parallel to the sample surface, is supplied by the AEW magnet for transverse field μ SR measurements (TF- μ SR,



Figure 5: The four segments of the RA: the top segment RAT, the bottom segment RAB, the right segment RAR, and the left segment RAL. The left and right segments of the RA sectors are named with respect to the muon beam direction.

muon spin transverse to the applied field), and a magnetic field of up to 3400 Gauss can be applied perpendicular to the sample surface and along the muon beam direction (WEW magnet, LF- or TF- μ SR, depending on the initial muons spin polarization). An acceleration/deceleration high voltage of up to ± 12.5 kV can be applied at the sample plate in order to tune the implantation energy of LE- μ^+ between 0.5 and 30 keV [22].

2.1. Beam spot with vertical magnetic field

2.1.1. Effect of vertical magnetic field on beam spot at zero sample bias

In this section we investigate the effects of a vertical magnetic field (field transverse to the beam direction, AEW magnet) on the beam spot for different fields and implantation energies. In addition, we simulate the steering and focusing effects of the segmented conical lens (RA) in front of the sample position. This allows us to optimize the RA settings for the different magnetic field configurations, which can be used as reference values for the experiment. Figure 2 shows the simulated muon beam spot at the sample position with start detector in-beam for a muon extraction voltage of $V_{mod} = 15$ kV and zero ap-




Figure 6: Comparison of measured (left) and simulated (right) beam spot at the sample viewed from upstream with $RAT-RAB = 0$ and $RAL-RAR = -0.64$ kV (top), and 0.56 kV (bottom). Other parameters are : $V_{mod}=10.0$ kV, $L1= 6.0$ kV, $L2=7.0$ kV, $L3=8.0$ kV, $RAT=RAB=7.5$ kV, $\theta_{spin} = -10^\circ$. The initial number of muons at the moderator in the simulation is 10^5 .

plied magnetic and electric fields on the sample. The beam spot is well centered in this case. Figure 3 shows beam spots viewed from downstream for different AEW magnetic fields: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 Gauss. The beam spot shifts almost linearly to the left with increasing magnetic field while the shape of the beam spot is only marginally affected. The mean horizontal and vertical positions (meanX and meanY) for different electric and vertical magnetic fields are shown in Figure 4. The absolute value of meanX increases nearly linearly with increasing magnetic field, and the beam spot shift is larger in a decelerating electric field. The meanY remains almost constant for the magnetic and




Figure 7: Simulated beam spots at the sample position viewed from downstream with maximized number of muons in the center. The centering of the beam spot is obtained by using the RA potential differences given in Table 1. Vertical magnetic field (AEW magnet) from 50 Gauss to 300 Gauss with the same beam element settings as in Figure 3 ($V_{mod}=15$ kV, RA=11.9 kV). Pictures from left to right, top to bottom are the beam spots with different AEW magnetic fields: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 Gauss at the sample, which is at zero potential.

electric fields usable in the experiment.

2.1.2. Effect of beam steering by the conical lens RA

The conical lens RA at the end of the LEM beam line consists of four segments: RAT, RAB, RAL and RAR (Figure 5). When the electrostatic potentials of the four sections are not equal, the beam spot at the sample is shifted from the center. We compare the experimental and simulated beam spots at the sample for different RA parameters. In the experiment, the beam spot at the sample position is measured using a 40 mm diameter Roentdek DLD40 delay line detector with three resistance matched Hamamatsu MCPs in a Z configuration. Beam spots for muons accelerated with 10 kV at the moderator are shown




Figure 8: Optimized RA potential values (top) and the corresponding fractions (bottom) of the beam stopping in an area of $20 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$ at the sample for different WEW magnetic fields for a moderator potential of 12 kV. RA_e and RA_s are the best values in the experiments and simulations, respectively.

in Figure 6. The top two are for $RAL-RAR = -0.64 \text{ kV}$ ($RAL = 7.18 \text{ kV}$, $RAR = 7.82 \text{ kV}$, $RAT = RAB = 7.50 \text{ kV}$), where the beam spot center shifts to the left side with $\text{meanX} = -9.5 \text{ mm}$ (in experiment) and -8.4 mm (in simulation). The results for $RAL-RAR = 0.56 \text{ kV}$ ($RAL = 7.78 \text{ kV}$, $RAR = 7.22 \text{ kV}$, $RAT = RAB = 7.50 \text{ kV}$) are shown in the bottom two figures of Figure 6. In this case the beam spot shifts to the right side with $\text{meanX} = 8.8 \text{ mm}$ (in experiment) and 7.6 mm (in simulation). The agreement between experiment and simulation is fairly good. The small deviations can be partially explained by the uncertainty in the applied potentials in the experiment, where it is known that the used high voltage power supplies have an absolute uncertainty between 10 and 30 V. The beam spot is deformed to a trapezoidal shape, which is different to the effect of the magnetic field which doesn't cause a deformation. This deformation is caused by lens aberrations in the RA due to proximity of the beam to the electrodes and the broken four fold symmetry of the electric field in case of a potential difference applied between two opposite segments. In the simulation, the transmission from the moderator to the sample at 10 kV is about 55%,




Figure 9: x_{RMS} and y_{RMS} of the muon beam spot at the sample at different magnetic fields along the muon momentum before and after tuning the RA with $V_{mod}=15$ kV. Data labelled "before" and "after" are for RA=11.9 kV for all magnetic fields before optimizing RA in the simulation and after setting the RA according to the values of Table 2.1.3, respectively.

compared to about 70% at 15 kV. Here, the transmission is defined as $\frac{N^{out}}{N^{in}} \times 100\%$, where N^{out} is the number of muons in the sample plane, and N^{in} is the initial number of muons at the moderator. The reduction of the transmission is due to i) a longer time-of-flight at 10 kV, which increases the fraction of muons decaying in flight by about 5% at 10 kV compared to 15 kV, ii) higher muonium (Mu) formation probability in the carbon foil, by 10%, and iii) larger beam divergence at 10 kV after the start detector. In the experiment we observe a corresponding drop of event rate when changing the beam transport settings from 15 kV to 10 kV.

2.1.3. Centering of the beam spot at the sample by RA steering

A potential difference between the RAL and RAR segments allows to counteract the action of the AEW vertical magnetic field and to steer the beam spot back to the center at the sample position. For muons extracted with 15 kV at the moderator and whose beam spot shifts are shown in Figure 3, the optimum potential differences obtained by the simulation are shown in Table 1 for different sample potentials (i.e. implantation energies) and AEW magnetic




Figure 10: The fraction $\eta = \frac{N_{[-10,10]mm}^{out}}{N_{total}^{out}}$ at the sample position with different magnetic fields parallel to the muon momentum before and after tuning the RA with $V_{mod}=15\text{kV}$. Data labelled "before" and "after" are for RA=11.9 kV for all magnetic fields (before optimizing RA in the simulation) and after tuning the RA according to Table 2.1.3 respectively.

fields. The other transport element parameters in the simulation are the same as the transport parameters in Figure 2. The corresponding meanX and meanY values after steering are given in Table 2 which shows that the mean position values in x and y are close to zero, where some of them deviate from zero due to the deformed beam spot which will be discussed below.

Figure 7 shows the beam spots with AEW magnetic fields after centering by using the RA parameters given in Table 1. The shape of the beam spot is deformed with increasing $|RAL-RAR|$ to a trapezoid or a triangle instead of the initial square. When $|RAL-RAR| > 2\text{ kV}$ the beam spot splits into two parts, the larger one keeping the previous trapezoidal shape, and the smaller one having an ellipsoidal shape. This splitting causes the meanX value to deviate from zero, while the muon rate in the center of the sample plane is maximized.

The fraction of muons stopping in an area $x, y \in [-10, 10]\text{ mm}$, $\eta = N_{[-10,10]}^{out}/N_{total}^{out}$, is shown in Table 3 before and after adjusting the RA settings. Before adjusting the RA this fraction is smaller than 10% when a 300-Gauss magnetic field is applied, and η is further reduced to less than 1% when a positive bias of +5 kV and +10 kV is used at the sample. After steering most of the muons ($> 60\%$)

Table 1: Simulated optimum values of (RAL-RAR) (Unit: kV) to steer the beam spot to the center at different electric potentials at the sample between -10 kV and 10 kV, and different AEW magnetic fields from 0 to 300 Gauss, $V_{mod}=15$ kV. RAL+RAR=23.8 kV.

	-10 kV	-5 kV	0	5 kV	10 kV
50 G	-0.36	-0.38	-0.40	-0.5	-0.6
100 G	-0.68	-0.70	-0.76	-0.82	-0.9
150 G	-1.00	-1.10	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2
200 G	-1.25	-1.50	-1.7	-1.6	-1.6
250 G	-1.60	-1.70	-1.85	-2.0	-2.0
300 G	-2.00	-2.30	-2.2	-2.3	-2.4

are shifted to the 20×20 mm² area in the center.

As a test of the proper integration of the muon trajectories in the magnetic and electric fields on approaching the sample plane we show the simulated mean kinetic energies of the muons at the sample in Table 2.1.3 for centered beam spots. At higher magnetic fields the muons have longer trajectories in the field region. The mean kinetic energies are the same for all magnetic and electric fields, as it should be for a correct trajectory integration. At zero external electric field (zero potential at the sample), the mean muon energy is about 14.2 keV which is a little less than the energy after the extraction from the moderator (15 keV) because of the energy loss at the carbon foil of the start detector [27, 28, 29].

2.2. Beam spot with horizontal magnetic field

In this section we investigate the effects of an horizontal magnetic field (field parallel to the beam direction, WEW magnet) on the beam spot for different fields and implantation energies. The magnetic field along the muon momentum at the sample is generated by the WEW magnet for LF- and TF- μ SR experiments. The muon beam spot at the sample may be influenced by the WEW magnetic field due to field components perpendicular to the muon momentum. An experimental determination of the optimal RA settings is obtained by maxi-

Table 2: Mean position values in x and y direction in mm ($\bar{x}$, $\bar{y}$) of the steered beam spots using the RA settings of Table 1 with electric potentials at the sample varying from -10 kV to 10 kV, and AEW magnetic fields from 0 to 300 Gauss; $V_{mod}=15$ kV.

	-10 kV		-5 kV		0 kV		5 kV		10 kV	
	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{y}$
0	-0.4	-0.1	-0.5	-0.1	-0.5	-0.1	-0.6	-0.1	-0.8	-0.1
50 G	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.9	-0.1	1.8	-0.1
100 G	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.3	-0.1	0.6	-0.1	1.1	-0.1
150 G	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	1.2	-0.1	1.0	-0.1	0.5	-0.1
200 G	-0.8	-0.1	1.0	-0.2	2.5	-0.1	1.4	-0.2	0.9	-0.1
250 G	-0.7	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.8	-0.1	1.8	-0.1	1.1	-0.1
300 G	-0.3	-0.1	1.7	-0.1	0.7	-0.1	1.2	-0.1	1.2	-0.1

mizing the fraction of muons landing within an area of 20×20 mm² at the sample position. This can be done by measuring the TF- μ SR precession amplitude on a 20×20 mm² gold foil glued onto a Ni coated large plate. Muons landing in the gold foil maintain their polarization and hence contribute to the measured signal, while muons landing in the Ni backing depolarize almost immediately and do not contribute to the precession amplitude. Measurements of the precession amplitude as a function of RA voltage were performed using $V_{mod} = 12$ kV. Figure 8 shows that there is good agreement between experimental (RA_e) and simulated (RA_s) optimum RA values for WEW fields up to 1600 G. At higher fields there are differences up to about 2500 G, where the RA_s values deviate by one to two kV from RA_e optimum. At even higher fields RA_e and RA_s agree again up to the maximum measured field of 3000 G. We attribute the discrepancies to a slightly off-centered beam in the experiment and possible differences between the WEW field map used in the simulations and the actual experimental one. The off-centered beam is caused by a slightly tilted muon moderator target and possible misalignment of optical elements, which in turn introduces additional transverse velocity components in the beam, causing a shift and off-centered beam spot as a function of magnetic field. This affects

Table 3: The fraction $\eta = N_{[-10,10]}^{out}/N^{out}$ of muons stopping in the center of the beam spot before and after steering by RA according to Tab. 1 with different electric and AEW magnetic fields, $V_{mod}=15$ kV. (Unit:%)

	-10 kV		-5 kV		0 kV		5 kV		10 kV	
	before	after	before	after	before	after	before	after	before	after
0	93.7	93.7	93.2	93.2	91.9	91.9	90.4	90.4	87.6	87.6
50 G	86.0	93.3	84.3	92.7	82.4	91.7	79.6	89.0	82.9	86.2
100 G	71.0	91.7	68.3	91.3	65.2	88.8	60.5	86.2	52.5	81.1
150 G	55.0	88.6	51.4	85.4	47.0	81.4	40.3	73.2	28.1	64.3
200 G	38.5	84.0	34.0	85.0	28.0	74.1	2.0	69.4	8.0	63.2
250 G	20.9	80.5	15.6	79.6	10.4	76.9	0.5	66.4	1.0	56.5
300 G	6.9	79.4	4.0	75.2	2.0	68.9	0.1	62.4	0.1	62.1

the fraction of muons stopping in the central 20×20 mm².

The RMS values of simulated beam spots at different WEW magnetic field from 0-3500 Gauss at $V_{mod}=15$ kV are displayed in Figure 9. For RA fixed at 11.9 kV the beam size significantly increases between 500 G and about 2000 G due to the varying focusing power of the magnet. This can be corrected by lowering the RA potential to reduce the focusing power of RA. In the simulation we tuned the RA potential to maximize the number of muons stopping in the central area of 20×20 mm² of the sample plane. Table 2.1.3 summarizes these optimized potential values of RA which provide the smallest beam spot size at the sample. One can see that the RA voltage can be kept constant up to 500 G before it has to be lowered to compensate for the increasing focusing power of the magnet. At some magnetic fields (such as B=1500, 1700 Gauss) there is no need to use the RA to focus the beam (RA=0). Figure 10 shows the fraction $\eta = N_{[-10,10]}^{out}/N^{out}$ at the sample before and after tuning the RA with different WEW magnetic fields. The fraction η can be significantly increased by proper tuning of RA. With the best RA settings obtained by the simulation the beam spot in the experiment can be reduced for a given WEW field, which is essential for optimizing the experimental conditions to perform LE- μ SR measurements

Table 4: The mean kinetic energy of muons at the sample with sample potentials between -10 kV and 10 kV and magnetic fields between 0 and 300 Gauss after steering the beam by the RA, $V_{mod}=15$ kV. (Unit: keV)

	-10 kV	-5 kV	0	5 kV	10 kV
0	24.2	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
50 G	24.2	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
100 G	24.2	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
150 G	24.2	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
200 G	24.2	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
250 G	24.1	19.2	14.2	9.2	4.2
300 G	24.1	19.1	14.2	9.2	4.2

Table 5: Optimized RA potential values for different WEW magnetic fields for a moderator potential of 15 kV.

B/Gauss	100	200	500	750	1000	1250	1500	1750
RA/kV	11.9	11.9	11.9	10.0	7.6	3.8	0	0
B/Gauss	2000	2250	2500	2750	3000	3250	3500	
RA/kV	11.2	10.5	7.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	11.0	

at magnetic fields > 100 G.

3. Reduction of the present LEM beam spot size

3.1. Experiment and simulation results of the present LEM beam spot

The RMS values of measured and simulated beam spots at the sample position for different moderator high voltages are shown in Figure 11. There is a good agreement between the experiment and simulation results in both x_{RMS} and y_{RMS} . RMS values in x and y are about 6-7 mm for the full beam size. With this beam spot size the investigation of "standard" thin film samples of 10×10 mm² is difficult because only 40% of the muons stop in this area and the analysis of the μ SR data is complicated by a sizeable background fraction.

This becomes even worse for smaller sample sizes. For example, only 10% of the muons stop in a sample of $5\times 5\text{ mm}^2$ area with the present beam conditions, thus making the study of such samples impractical. Therefore, a reduction of the beam spot size is highly desirable because it directly affects the feasibility of μSR experiments on small samples. If one aims to obtain 90% of the muons stopping in a $10\times 10\text{ mm}^2$ area one needs $x_{RMS} \simeq y_{RMS} \simeq 2.5\text{ mm}$. Experiments on a single $5\times 5\text{ mm}^2$ sample with a fraction of 50% of muons stopping within the sample would become feasible in this case. In the following two subsections we investigate how the beam spot size can be reduced by modifications of the existing setup. One option is to remove the start detector from the beam and its effect on the beam spot size - ignoring for the moment the problem of losing the capability of generating a start signal for the μSR measurements. Another possibility is the use of collimators in the upstream part of the LEM beam line to reduce the beam spot size.

3.2. *Effect of the start detector on the LEM beam spot size*

To test the effect on the beam spot by scattering processes as the muons pass through the thin carbon foil of the start detector, we performed a series of beam tests with the detector lifted in a position out of the beam. Without using the thin carbon foil, almost all muons at the sample position are focussed in the $20\times 20\text{ mm}^2$ area. For the calculation of the RMS values of the measured beam spot we consider only those muons stopping in an area $x, y \in [-10, 10]\text{ mm}$, since background counts on the position sensitive MCP detector outside this area cause spuriously large RMS values, which wouldn't allow a direct comparison of measured and simulated beam spots. Figure 12 shows that x_{RMS} and y_{RMS} of measured and simulated beam spots at different moderator voltages are in good agreement. The values are about 3.5-4 mm which is clearly smaller than the beam spots with the start detector in beam (6-7 mm in Figure 11). The removal of the start detector would significantly reduce the beam spot at the sample. For example, the fraction of muons stopping in a $10\times 10\text{ mm}^2$ sample increases from 40% to 70%. Figure 12 also displays the beam spot




Figure 11: x_{RMS} (top) and y_{RMS} (bottom) values of experimental and simulated beam spots at the sample position with tigger detector in the beam, for moderator potentials V_{mod} from 8.5 kV to 15 kV, and the spin rotator set to rotate the muon spin to $\theta_{spin} = -10^\circ$ (see text for definition of θ_{spin}).

RMS parameters of protons originating at the moderator surface. These protons are generated the following way: electrons from a quadrupole mass spectrometer close to the moderator may enter the accelerator region at the moderator, where they get accelerated towards the moderator substrate. These electrons can dissociate water or hydrogen molecules sticking at the surface of the moderator, releasing protons from the surface. These protons experience the same accelerating field as the muons. As in the case of muons, they are also homogeneously distributed over the area of the moderator. The proton mean energy is expected to be in the sub-eV or eV range because the protons are generated by impact ionization/fragmentation. In fact, in order to reproduce the beam spot size of




Figure 12: x_{RMS} (top) and y_{RMS} (bottom) values of experimental and simulated beam spots at the sample position with the start detector lifted up. RMS values of the proton beam spot at $V_{mod}=8.5$ kV in the experiment and V_{mod} from 8.5 kV to 15 kV in the simulation are also displayed.

the protons in the simulation, we have to use an initial energy distribution with mean energy of 6 eV and same width (FWHM). This allows testing the LEM beam line offline by using a protons beam instead of muons. The RMS values of the proton beam spot in the experiment ($V_{mod} = 8.5$ kV) and in the simulation (V_{mod} from 8.5 kV to 15 kV) are about 1 mm smaller compared to the muons with the same beam line settings. The larger mean energy and broader energy distribution of the muons compared to protons, combined with their light mass, result in a larger mean transverse velocity of the muons before entering the electrostatic acceleration section downstream of the moderator. This produces a larger beam extent in the beam optics elements, which makes lens aberrations more important for the transport of muons compared to protons.

Table 6: x_{RMS} and y_{RMS} of the beam spot at sample position, with start detector in beam and transmission N^{out}/N^{in} of the beam without/with collimator at the start detector, for different sizes of the moderator: 30×30 mm² (type: 30×30) and 10×10 mm² (type: 10×10), respectively. A 5 mm radius collimator is placed in front of the start detector. The high voltages of the beam line elements are as follows: $V_{mod}=15$ kV, $L1=9.0$ kV, $L2=10.5$ kV, $L3=11.5$ kV, $RA=11.9$ kV; $N^{in} = 10^4$.

type/mm ²	without collimator			with collimator		
	x_{RMS}/mm	y_{RMS}/mm	transmission/%	x_{RMS}/mm	y_{RMS}/mm	transmission/%
30×30	5.4	5.4	70.1	2.5	2.5	7.2
10×10	3.5	3.7	72.2	2.1	2.5	28.1

3.3. Effect of beam collimation at the moderator and at the start detector on the muon beam spot size

In the simulation we place a collimator with radius $r = 5$ mm in front of the start detector to study the effect of beam collimation on the muon beam spot size. Table 6 summarizes the RMS values of the beam spot at the sample with and without collimation with the corresponding beam transmission N^{out}/N^{in} . After collimation the beam area shrinks to about 30% of its initial value, while at the same time the transmission efficiency significantly drops to less than 10% for the present moderator size of 30×30 mm². For a smaller moderator of 10×10 mm² area the beam spot at the sample without collimation at the start detector can be already clearly reduced, with about 2 mm smaller RMS values. Additional collimation in front of the start detector can further lower the beam spot size, which is now slightly smaller compared to the collimated beam for the 30×30 mm² moderator. The disadvantage of using collimators is the large reduction of beam transmission of up to about 90%, causing a corresponding reduction of low-energy muon rate. A better focusing of the surface muon beam onto the moderator target can - at least partially - counteract this loss, as is demonstrated by the simulation result with a 10×10 mm² moderator area.

3.4. Discussion

To reduce the present LEM beam spot size at the sample position two options have been investigated: the removal of the start detector, which is possible when using time integral measurements such as, for example, continuous wave radio frequency μ SR (RF- μ SR), and the use of collimators. The muon beam spot size at the sample position can be significantly reduced to 3.5-4.0 mm RMS values without the detector and for the present size of muon moderator of 30×30 mm². To overcome the problem of generating a timing signal for LE- μ SR measurements, it is conceivable to use a 2nd moderator to re-moderate the low energy muons, as it is routinely done in slow positron beam setups [30]. Secondary electrons, created by the muons impinging on the moderator, could be directed onto a MCP detector to generate the required start signal for the μ SR measurement instead of the start detector currently used. If successful, such a 2nd moderator could potentially be useful to further reduce the muon beam spot size to RMS values < 2.5 mm.

Another possibility to significantly reduce the beam spot size at the sample to < 3.5 mm RMS is the use of a smaller moderator target with a size of 10×10 mm². Additional collimation at the start detector can further lower the RMS values to $\lesssim 2.5$ mm. In order to partially compensate for the corresponding loss in beam transmission we are considering an improved beam focus of the μ E4 beamline by using a solenoid as the last focusing element, similar to the existing WSX61/WSX62 solenoids at the beginning of μ E4 to replace the last quadrupole triplet QSM610-612 [6] at the end of the beam line. The possibility of improving the extraction system after the LEM moderator by using a Soa lens will be also considered in the future. Such a Soa lens has been successfully used at the J-PARC/MUSE facility [31, 32, 33] and consists of several cylindrical electrodes with asymmetric high voltages. The advantage of this lens is that it produces a smaller beam cross section compared to the current system of planar grids. This can reduce the effect of lens aberrations on the muon beam spot at the sample position.

4. Summary

In this paper we presented a detailed Geant4 investigation to optimize the experimental conditions for the present LEM setup, where we studied the beam transport in magnetic and electric fields in the sample region. Using these simulations we optimized the electric potential settings of the last segmented electrostatic element (RA) in the case of a vertical magnetic field at the sample position. This field is transverse to the muon momentum and requires steering by RA to center the beam. In the case of a magnetic field along the beam direction the increasing focusing power of the magnet has to be compensated by a reduction of the RA focusing power. These settings are tabulated in this paper and can be used in future LE- μ SR experiments as reference.

In order to extend the LE- μ SR technique to smaller sample sizes ($< 10 \times 10$ mm²) we studied the influence of the start detector and of collimators on the beam spot size. This investigation demonstrates the feasibility of achieving a beam spot with $x_{RMS}, y_{RMS} < 2.5$ mm. This requires a smaller muon moderator size ($< 30 \times 30$ mm²) which can be realized by a better focusing of the μ E4 beam onto the moderator by using a solenoid as the last element of the μ E4 beam line. Additionally, an optimized extraction at the moderator and a collimator at the start detector are necessary to achieve this goal, or the replacement of the existing start detector by a 2nd moderator.

Finally, we emphasize that Geant4 simulations are very powerful to describe and optimize experimental setups, and to help pushing experimental capabilities to the limit.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Paolo Crivelli for stimulating discussion about muon re-moderation. Ran Xiao acknowledges a scholarship from the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and financial support from PSI for her stay at PSI.

References

References

- [1] A. Yaouanc, P. D. De Réotier, Muon spin rotation, relaxation, and resonance: applications to condensed matter, no. 147, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [2] E. Morenzoni, Physics and applications of low energy muons, Muon Science: Muons in Physics, Chemistry and Materials (Bristol and Philadelphia, 1999), SL Lee, SH Kilcoyne, and R. Cywinski, Eds 51 (1998) 343–404.
- [3] E. Morenzoni, H. Glückler, T. Prokscha, H. Weber, E. Forgan, T. Jackson, H. Luetkens, C. Niedermayer, M. Pleines, M. Birke, et al., Low-energy μ sr at psi: present and future, Physica B: Condensed Matter 289 (2000) 653–657.
- [4] D. Harshman, A. Mills Jr, J. Beveridge, K. Kendall, G. Morris, M. Senba, J. Warren, A. Rupaal, J. Turner, Generation of slow positive muons from solid rare-gas moderators, Physical Review B 36 (16) (1987) 8850.
- [5] E. Morenzoni, F. Kottmann, D. Maden, B. Matthias, M. Meyberg, T. Prokscha, T. Wutzke, U. Zimmermann, Generation of very slow polarized positive muons, Physical review letters 72 (17) (1994) 2793.
- [6] T. Prokscha, E. Morenzoni, K. Deiters, F. Foroughi, D. George, R. Kobler, A. Suter, V. Vrankovic, The new μ e4 beam at psi: A hybrid-type large acceptance channel for the generation of a high intensity surface-muon beam, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 595 (2) (2008) 317–331.
- [7] E. Morenzoni, R. Khasanov, H. Luetkens, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, N. Garifianov, H. Glückler, M. Birke, E. Forgan, H. Keller, et al., Low energy muons as probes of thin films and near surface regions, Physica B: Condensed Matter 326 (1) (2003) 196–204.

- [8] E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, H. Luetkens, R. Khasanov, Nano-scale thin film investigations with slow polarized muons, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 16 (40) (2004) S4583.
- [9] T. Prokscha, K. Chow, E. Stilp, A. Suter, H. Luetkens, E. Morenzoni, G. Nieuwenhuys, Z. Salman, R. Scheuermann, Photo-induced persistent inversion of germanium in a 200-nm-deep surface region, *Scientific reports* 3.
- [10] E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, H. Saadaoui, Z. Salman, A. Suter, B. M. Wojek, J. Baglo, I. Božović, M. Hossain, R. F. Kiefl, et al., Low-energy muons at psi: Examples of investigations of superconducting properties in near-surface regions and heterostructures, in: *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Science Explored by Ultra Slow Muon (USM2013)*, JPS Conference Proceedings, Vol. 2, id. 010201, 10 pp., Vol. 2, 2014, p. 0201.
- [11] L. Schulz, L. Nuccio, M. Willis, P. Desai, P. Shakya, T. Kreouzis, V. K. Malik, C. Bernhard, F. Pratt, N. Morley, et al., Engineering spin propagation across a hybrid organic/inorganic interface using a polar layer, *Nature materials* 10 (1) (2011) 39–44.
- [12] A. Suter, E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, B. Wojek, H. Luetkens, G. Nieuwenhuys, A. Gozar, G. Logvenov, I. Božović, Two-dimensional magnetic and superconducting phases in metal-insulator $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ superlattices measured by muon-spin rotation, *Physical review letters* 106 (23) (2011) 237003.
- [13] A. Boris, Y. Matiks, E. Benckiser, A. Frano, P. Popovich, V. Hinkov, P. Wochner, M. Castro-Colin, E. Detemple, V. K. Malik, et al., Dimensionality control of electronic phase transitions in nickel-oxide superlattices, *Science* 332 (6032) (2011) 937–940.
- [14] A. Hofmann, Z. Salman, M. Mannini, A. Amato, L. Malavolti, E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, R. Sessoli, A. Suter, Depth-dependent spin dynamics in

thin films of tbpc2 nanomagnets explored by low-energy implanted muons, ACS nano 6 (9) (2012) 8390–8396.

- [15] E. Stilp, A. Suter, T. Prokscha, Z. Salman, E. Morenzoni, H. Keller, P. Pahlke, R. Hühne, C. Bernhard, R. Liang, et al., Controlling the near-surface superfluid density in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ by photo-illumination, Scientific reports 4.
- [16] H. Saadaoui, Z. Salman, H. Luetkens, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, W. MacFarlane, Y. Jiang, K. Jin, R. Greene, E. Morenzoni, et al., The phase diagram of electron-doped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4-\delta$, Nature communications 6.
- [17] F. Al MaMari, T. Moorsom, G. Teobaldi, W. Deacon, T. Prokscha, H. Luetkens, S. Lee, G. E. Sterbinsky, D. A. Arena, D. A. MacLaren, et al., Beating the stoner criterion using molecular interfaces, Nature 524 (7563) (2015) 69–73.
- [18] L. Anghinolfi, H. Luetkens, J. Perron, M. Flokstra, O. Sendetskyi, A. Suter, T. Prokscha, P. Derlet, S. Lee, L. Heyderman, Thermodynamic phase transitions in a frustrated magnetic metamaterial, Nature communications 6.
- [19] M. Flokstra, N. Satchell, J. Kim, G. Burnell, P. Curran, S. Bending, J. Cooper, C. Kinane, S. Langridge, A. Isidori, et al., Remotely induced magnetism in a normal metal using a superconducting spin-valve, arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.03565.
- [20] T. Prokscha, E. Morenzoni, C. David, A. Hofer, H. Glückler, L. Scandella, Moderator gratings for the generation of epithermal positive muons, Applied surface science 172 (3) (2001) 235–244.
- [21] P. Bakule, E. Morenzoni, Generation and applications of slow polarized muons, Contemporary Physics 45 (3) (2004) 203–225.
- [22] Low energy muons: Overview of the experimental setup, <http://www.psi.ch/low-energy-muons/experimental-setup>.

- [23] E. Morenzoni, H. Glückler, T. Prokscha, R. Khasanov, H. Luetkens, M. Birke, E. Forgan, C. Niedermayer, M. Pleines, Implantation studies of kev positive muons in thin metallic layers, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 192 (3) (2002) 254–266.
- [24] K. Sedlak, R. Scheuermann, T. Shiroka, A. Stoykov, A. Raselli, A. Amato, Musrsim and musrsimana-simulation tools for μ sr instruments, *Physics Procedia* 30 (2012) 61–64.
- [25] S. Agostinelli, J. Allison, K. a. Amako, J. Apostolakis, H. Araujo, P. Arce, M. Asai, D. Axen, S. Banerjee, G. Barrand, et al., Geant4a simulation toolkit, *Nuclear instruments and methods in physics research section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 506 (3) (2003) 250–303.
- [26] J. Allison, K. Amako, J. Apostolakis, H. Araujo, P. A. Dubois, M. Asai, G. Barrand, R. Capra, S. Chauvie, R. Chytrcek, et al., Geant4 developments and applications, *Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions on* 53 (1) (2006) 270–278.
- [27] Z. Salman, T. Prokscha, P. Keller, E. Morenzoni, H. Saadaoui, K. Sedlak, T. Shiroka, S. Sidorov, A. Suter, V. Vrankovic, et al., Design and simulation of a spin rotator for longitudinal field measurements in the low energy muons spectrometer, *Physics Procedia* 30 (2012) 55–60.
- [28] T. K. Paraiso, E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, et al., Geant4 simulation of low energy μ sr experiments at psi, *Physica B: Condensed Matter* 374 (2006) 498–501.
- [29] K. S. Khaw, A. Antognini, P. Crivelli, K. Kirch, E. Morenzoni, Z. Salman, A. Suter, T. Prokscha, Geant4 simulation of the psi lem beam line: energy loss and muonium formation in thin foils and the impact of unmoderated muons on the μ sr spectrometer, *Journal of Instrumentation* 10 (10) (2015) P10025.

- [30] C. Piochacz, G. Kögel, W. Egger, C. Hugenschmidt, J. Mayer, K. Schreckenbach, P. Sperr, M. Stadlbauer, G. Dollinger, A positron remoderator for the high intensity positron source nepomuc, *Applied Surface Science* 255 (1) (2008) 98–100.
- [31] Y. Miyake, Y. Ikedo, K. Shimomura, P. Strasser, N. Kawamura, K. Nishiyama, A. Koda, H. Fujimori, S. Makimura, J. Nakamura, et al., Ultra slow muon microscopy by laser resonant ionization at j-parc, muse, *Hyperfine Interactions* 216 (1-3) (2013) 79–83.
- [32] T. Nagatomo, Y. Ikedo, P. Strasser, S. Makimura, J. Nakamura, K. Nishiyama, K. Shimomura, N. Kawamura, A. Koda, H. Fujimori, et al., Construction of ultra slow muon beam line at j-parc, in: *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Science Explored by Ultra Slow Muon (USM2013)*, JPS Conference Proceedings, Vol. 2, id. 010102, 5 pp., Vol. 2, 2014, p. 0102.
- [33] J. Nakamura, T. Nagatomo, Y. Oishi, Y. Ikedo, P. Strasser, N. Saito, K. Miyazaki, K. Yokoyama, K. Okamura, Y. Miyake, et al., Ultra slow muon microscope at muse/j-parc, in: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 502, IOP Publishing, 2014, p. 012042.